



FORMAT FOR GOOD PRACTICE DESCRIPTION

Title of the good practice	“No Persons with Disabilities Left Behind”
Name of organization or coalition that implemented the good practice	Foundation for Advocacy and Literacy Development (FFALD)
When is/was the good practice implemented?	<i>start date and end date</i> <i>May, 2016 to date</i>
Where is/was the good practice implemented?	<i>Location, area, district</i> <i>Freetown, Western Area District.</i>
Total budget of the good practice	€. 2000 (euros)
Central theme of the good practice	<i>What were main topics: Discrimination, Stigmatization, Intersectionality and Inclusion, - An Inclusive Society for All.</i>
Target group of the good practice	<i>List those directly benefiting from the project MDA’s, Policy makers, Public and Private Institutions, Communities and beneficiaries such as Speech and hearing Impaired, Albinos, LGBTI, Polio and mental illness persons.</i>

A. General description

Why did you initiate the project?

Describe the context in which the good practice takes/took place. What problem does it address? (75 words)

This project was initiated as a result of discriminations, ill-treatment, stigmatizations, neglects, bullying and deprivations levied against persons with disabilities. They are most often left out in the development agenda and found it very difficult to get involve and benefit from development programs, job employment and other community development programs in the country and has been deprived of their natural human rights like Sierra Leoneans.

Goal and Objective?

What is/was the goal, and what are/were the objectives of the good practice? What change did you aim to see? (50 words)

To continuously raise strong advocacy campaign for the elimination of all forms of discriminations, stigmatizations and intersectionality against persons with disabilities (PWD’s) and other vulnerable groups to be treated equally at all levels in the country.



What were the activities?

What did you really do? With whom? (100 words)

The project activities were awareness raising and sensitization campaign, workshops and learning sessions for experience sharing, developed and disseminated appropriate advocacy campaign materials, radio and television media engagement and monitoring and evaluation exercises. These activities were carried out with relevant stakeholders like parliamentarians, community, religious, political, women and youth leaders, partners, beneficiaries and our validators.

What were the results?

Describe the main results of the good practice? (100 words)

It reduces discrimination, stigmatization and intersectionality at various levels, significant human rights laws were revised and enacted by our parliament. Behavioral changes in attitude and equal opportunities to PWD’s and other vulnerable groups at institutional level. Inclusive participation at community level, work, business and market places, and major improvement in the social, economic, cultural, religious and political involvement and an inclusive participation of persons with disabilities and other vulnerable groups in the country.

B. Detailed description

This section is to be completed after the exchange visits. During those visits you will have discussed and enriched your understanding of the good practice.

B.1 LOBBY AND ADVOCACY (MAX. 100 WORDS)

Describe per question below what you did relating to L&A.

To whom did you lobby?

What did you lobby and advocate for?

Did you change your plan/activities during the process?

Any unexpected L& results?

Validate	Whom
Describe the main outcomes of the project related to advocacy , resulting from your project? Specify who did what, when and where .	Max 50 words FFALD engaged parliamentarians and key stakeholders to revised and enacted relevant human rights laws in 2016 and 2017. The negative behavioral attitudes, marginalization, discrimination, stigmatization in public and private institution against persons with disabilities were curtailed drastically.
Describe how your organisation contributed to this change, as described above.	What did your organisation do, what was your INPUT. Based on our long standing advocacy engagement and team of experts we were able to designed standard and professional methodology in identifying the relevant stakeholders, institutions, communities and medium to ensure every aspects are effectively targeted and help to bring immediate changes.



<p>Which organisation or persons could validate the change described above?</p>	<p><i>Max 100 words</i></p> <p><i>Who did you speak/visit to validate(organisations or persons) What is their function? What is their relation with your organisation and the project?</i></p> <p><i>The Director and head of disabilities at the Ministry of Social Welfare, Gender and Children’s Affairs, the Programme Director of Human Rights Commission and the Principal of REAPS Vocational Institutes. They gave technical advices and collaborated with us in various aspect of our advocacy campaign.</i></p>
--	---

B.2 INTERSECTIONALITY (MAX. 50 WORDS)

Describe per question below what you did relating to intersectionality.

To what extent did you pay attention to intersectionality?

Give one concrete example?

Validate	Whom
<p>Describe the main outcomes related to intersectionality, resulting from your project? <i>Specify who did what, when and where.</i></p>	<p><i>Max 50 word</i></p> <p><i>This project has contributed to curtailed biases based on discrimination, stigmatization and intersectionality and gives recognition, dignity and inclusive participation of PWD’s and other vulnerable groups to be treated equally. Example is the new employment policy.</i></p>
<p>Describe how your organisation contributed to this change, as described above.</p>	<p><i>What did your organisation do, what was your INPUT. Through continuous lobbying and advocacy engagement with relevant stakeholders, radio and television talk shows, outreaches at institutions, communities, work and business places with the use of relevant advocacy materials disseminated at strategic places of the country.</i></p>
<p>Which organisation or persons could validate the change described above?</p>	<p><i>Max 100 words</i></p> <p><i>Who did you speak/visit to validate(organisations or persons) What is their function? What is their relation with your organisation and the project?</i></p> <p><i>The Director and head of disabilities at the Ministry of Social Welfare, Gender and Children’s Affairs, the Programme Director of Human Rights Commission and the Principal of REAPS Vocational Institutes. They validated our project and attested to the fact that they usually gave technical advices and collaborated with us in various aspect of our advocacy campaign and passionate to see that we accomplish our desired goal.</i></p>



C. Learning

What did your organisation learn from this good practice? (50 words)

Describe what your organisation learned especially regarding L&A and intersectionality.

Lobbying and Advocacy is not a new tool to us but intersectionality seems multi facets. We learned much about intersectionality in diverse ways, among all is the ACAP framework. It an appropriate evaluation tool for a good practice and we (FFALD) met its requirements.

What are 3 tips you would give to other organisations?

Tip 1: EDSI should try to be more visible by having office sign board and fully involve their beneficiaries in their work.

Tip 2: Mirror Africa should be visible by having their own office space and work with persons with disabilities.

Tip 3: Mirror Africa should be more specific with their target group and intervention in working with commercial sex workers. I.e. which services are they providing?

What makes this project a good practice? (max. 50 words)

Please describe what made this project special and more successful than other projects you have done before?

Previously, we engaged the media and sometimes float parades but collaboration of relevant stakeholders at strategic levels in this project as well as beneficiaries participations makes it successful by curtailing discrimination, stigmatization and Intersectionality against PWD's and other vulnerable groups.

D. Award

If your organisation would win the award of €5.000,- , how would you use this prize? (100

Honestly and realistically once successfully won the award of five thousand euros (€5.000) we (FFALD) will use this money to continue our advocacy campaign across the other three (3)regions (Eastern, Northern and Southern) in order to replicate it throughout the country. FFALD will involve EDSI and Mirror Africa to help us strengthen and create sustainable inclusive participation of persons with disabilities including other vulnerable groups to fully involve in all aspects of the country's development agenda and thereby eliminating all forms of discrimination, stigmatization and intersectionality in the country.



Are we allowed to use this good practice in a publication on good advocacy practices?

- Yes, we give permission to include **both** the name of the organisation and the good practice
- Yes, we give permission, but **ONLY** for including the good practice (organisation will be anonymous)
- No, we **do not** give permission to use this good practice in any publication